arXiv:1602.06257v1 [hep-ph] 19 Feb 2016

UPR-1278-T

Prepared for submission to JHEP

String Consistency, Heavy Exotics, and the 750 GeV Diphoton Excess at the LHC: Addendum

Mirjam Cvetič,[1,2] **James Halverson,**[3] **and Paul Langacker**[4,5]

[1] *Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104-6396, USA*

[2] *Center for Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, University of Maribor, Maribor, Slovenia*

[3] *Department of Physics, Northeastern University, Boston, MA 02115-5000, USA*

[4] *School of Natural Science, Institute for Advanced Study, Einstein Drive, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA*

[5] *Department of Physics, Princeton University Princeton, NJ 08544, USA*

E-mail: cvetic@hep.upenn.edu, j.halverson@neu.edu, pgl@ias.edu

Abstract: We study models with heavy exotics that account for the LHC 750 GeV diphoton excess in light of current vector-like quark bounds. Utilizing only exotics that may appear in three-stack and four-stack D-brane models, we show that a narrow width diphoton excess can be accounted for while evading existing bounds if multiple exotics are added, with vector-like leptons of mass $M_L \lesssim 375$ GeV and vector-like quarks with masses up to $\simeq 3$ TeV. However, a large width ($\Gamma/M \sim 0.06$), as suggested by the ATLAS data, cannot be easily accommodated in this framework. Renormalization group equations with GUT-scale boundary conditions show that these supersymmetric models are perturbative and stable. Type IIA compactifications on toroidal orbifolds allow for $O(10)$ Yukawa couplings in the ultraviolet.

1 Introduction

The 750 GeV diphoton excess observed by ATLAS and CMS [1–3] in their 13 TeV data has been studied in many theoretical papers using variants on a few different types of models. In one of the most studied types, the 750 GeV particle is a scalar or pseudoscalar s that is produced and decays via loops of vector-like exotic fermions [4–47]. Such models have utilized a variety of exotics, masses, and low-scale Yukawa couplings, and some have also addressed issues of ultraviolet perturbativity and stability [13, 22, 25, 29, 33, 34, 47, 48].

In our previous work [20], we studied models of the diphoton excess in which the addition of heavy exotics is motivated by string consistency conditions. In type II string models these conditions are Gauss laws for D-brane charges in the compact extra dimensions, the D-brane tadpole cancellation conditions. They place constraints on the chiral spectrum that go beyond standard gauge anomaly cancellation in quantum field theory. These additional constraints are necessary and sufficient for the cancellation of non-abelian anomalies after D-brane pair production [49]. Most MSSM realizations in this type II context do not satisfy the additional conditions and exotics must be added to the theory, as studied in [50] and subsequent works [51, 52]. Vector-like exotics are also well-motivated in local F-theory models [36].

In this addendum we extend our analysis, simultaneously taking into account current bounds on vector-like quarks, perturbativity and stability of the models up to a high scale, and the decay rates necessary to account for the diphoton excess.

2 Renormalization Group Equations and Infrared Fixed Points

We consider models where the 750 GeV particle is a scalar degree of freedom in a chiral supermultiplet S that couples to N_i exotic vector-like chiral multiplets $X_i, \overline{X}_i$ in the superpotential via a Yukawa coupling $\gamma_i\, S X_i \overline{X}_i$. The exotics X_i and $\overline{X}_i$ transform as $(n_3^i, n_2^i)_{y_i}$ and $(n_3^{i*}, n_2^{i*})_{-y_i}$, respectively, with $q_i = t_{3,i} + y_i$.

In supersymmetric models the gauge couplings are governed by the renormalization group equations

$$\begin{aligned}
16\pi^2 \beta_{g_3} &= \left[-3 + 2 \sum_i N_i T^3(i) n_2^i \right] g_3^3 \\
16\pi^2 \beta_{g_2} &= \left[+1 + 2 \sum_i N_i T^2(i) n_3^i \right] g_2^3 \\
16\pi^2 \beta_{g_1} &= \left[+\frac{33}{5} + 2 \sum_i N_i T^1(i) n_2^i n_3^i \right] g_1^3 .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where $\beta_{g_i} \equiv dg_i/dt$ with $t = \ln(\mu/\mu_0)$. $T^a(i)$ is the Dynkin index for representation i in group a. The Dynkin indices of low-dimensional representations are $T^3(i) = (3, 1/2, 0)$ for $n_3^i = (8, 3, 1)$, $T^2(i) = (2, 1/2, 0)$ for $n_2^i = (3, 2, 1)$, and $T^1(i) \equiv \frac{3}{5} y_i^2$. We have used the GUT-normalized gauge coupling g_1 for $U(1)_Y$, which is related to th ordinary g' by

$g_1 = \sqrt{5/3}g'$. The beta functions for the Yukawa coupling γ_i are [see, e.g., [53]]

$$16\pi^2\beta_{\gamma_i} = 2\gamma_i|\gamma_i|^2 + \gamma_i\left(\sum_j N_j n_2^j n_3^j|\gamma_j|^2\right) - 4\gamma_i\sum_{a=1}^{3} C_2^a(i)g_a^2. \tag{2.2}$$

The specific set of models we will study have the MSSM spectrum with three right-handed neutrinos augmented by N_Q $(3,2)_{1/6} + (\overline{3},2)_{-1/6}$ pairs, N_U $(3,1)_{2/3} + (\overline{3},1)_{-2/3}$ pairs, N_D $(3,1)_{-1/3} + (\overline{3},1)_{1/3}$ pairs, N_L $(1,2)_{-1/2} + (1,2)_{1/2}$ pairs, and N_E $(1,1)_1 + (1,1)_{-1}$ pairs, all of which occur frequently in the type IIA compactifications [20, 50]. The subscripts denote that one of the chiral multiplets in the pair has the same MSSM quantum numbers as the associated MSSM superfield, e.g., Q, U, D, L, E. The Yukawa couplings in this model will be labeled similarly, i.e., $\gamma_Q, \gamma_U, \gamma_D, \gamma_L, \gamma_E$. The beta functions for the gauge couplings are

$$\begin{aligned}
16\pi^2\beta_{g_3} &= g_3^3(-3 + 2N_Q + N_U + N_D)\\
16\pi^2\beta_{g_2} &= g_2^3(1 + 3N_Q + N_L)\\
16\pi^2\beta_{g_1} &= g_1^3\left(\frac{33}{5} + \frac{6}{5}\left(\frac{N_Q}{6} + \frac{4N_U}{3} + \frac{N_D}{3} + \frac{N_L}{2} + N_E\right)\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Those for the Yukawa couplings are

$$\begin{aligned}
16\pi^2\beta_{\gamma_Q} &= \gamma_Q\left[2|\gamma_Q|^2 + \alpha - 4\left(\frac{4}{3}g_3^2 + \frac{3}{4}g_2^2 + \frac{3}{5}\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^2 g_1^2\right)\right]\\
16\pi^2\beta_{\gamma_U} &= \gamma_U\left[2|\gamma_U|^2 + \alpha - 4\left(\frac{4}{3}g_3^2 + \frac{3}{5}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^2 g_1^2\right)\right]\\
16\pi^2\beta_{\gamma_D} &= \gamma_D\left[2|\gamma_D|^2 + \alpha - 4\left(\frac{4}{3}g_3^2 + \frac{3}{5}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^2 g_1^2\right)\right]\\
16\pi^2\beta_{\gamma_L} &= \gamma_L\left[2|\gamma_L|^2 + \alpha - 4\left(\frac{3}{4}g_2^2 + \frac{3}{5}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 g_1^2\right)\right]\\
16\pi^2\beta_{\gamma_E} &= \gamma_E\left[2|\gamma_E|^2 + \alpha - 4\left(\frac{3}{5}g_1^2\right)\right],
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$\alpha = 6N_Q|\gamma_Q|^2 + 3N_U|\gamma_U|^2 + 3N_D|\gamma_D|^2 + 2N_L|\gamma_L|^2 + N_E|\gamma_E|^2. \tag{2.5}$$

We will study models with specific values for the tuple $(N_Q, N_U, N_D, N_L, N_E)$.

The perturbative nature of specific models is ensured in part by the existence of infrared fixed points. For reasonable ultraviolet boundary conditions for the Yukawa couplings in the range $[0.1, 10]$, Yukawa couplings of vector-like quarks often approach their fixed points.

Let us first justify this range of UV Yukawa couplings in type IIA compactifications

with intersecting D6-branes, which are one of the contexts for our previous work [20]. We shall focus on the allowed magnitudes of the Yukawa couplings at the string scale. These were calculated exactly at the string (world-sheet) tree level for toroidal compactifications in [54]. The full expression (both classical and quantum part of the string tree level amplitude) for branes wrapping factorizable three-cycles of $T^6 = T^2 \times T^2 \times T^2$ is written as (see, eq. (3) of [54]):

$$\gamma = \sqrt{2} g_s 2\pi \prod_{j=1}^{3} \left[\frac{16\pi^2 B(\nu_j, 1-\nu_j)}{B(\nu_j, \lambda_j) B(\nu_j, 1-\nu_j-\lambda_j)} \right]^{\frac{1}{4}} \sum_m \exp\left(-\frac{A_j(m)}{2\pi\alpha'} \right). \tag{2.6}$$

Here the chiral superfields are localized at the intersections of pairs of D6-branes, which intersect at respective angles $\pi\nu_j$, $\pi\lambda_j$, and $\pi - \pi\nu_j - \pi\lambda_j$ on the jth two-torus. $A_j(m)$ is the area of the triangle formed by the three intersecting D6-branes on the j-th two-torus and $g_s = e^{\Phi/2}$, with Φ corresponding to the Type IIA dilaton. The beta function $B(p,q)$ is defined in terms of $\Gamma(p)$ functions as $B(p,q) \equiv \frac{\Gamma(p)\,\Gamma(q)}{\Gamma(p+q)}$. The coupling is between two fermion fields and a scalar field, i.e., the massless states appearing at the respective intersections, whose kinetic energies are taken to be canonically normalized.

The magnitude of Yukawa couplings can be surprisingly sizable, reaching $\mathcal{O}(10)$, while having the string coupling still perturbative. The choice of brane angles and disc instanton areas that maximize the Yukawa coupling are $\nu_j = \lambda_j = 1 - \nu_j - \lambda_j = \frac{1}{3}$ $(j = 1, 2, 3)$ and $A_j(m = 1) = 0$, respectively. Taking these values and a perturbative string coupling $g_s = 0.2$, the Yukawa coupling is $\gamma = 17$. Since $\gamma \propto g_s$ the Yukawa coupling can be even higher while remaining within a perturbative string framework. Taking universal angles for all tori $\nu_j =: \nu$ and $\lambda_j =: \lambda$, the dependence of γ on these angles is presented in Figure 1, which demonstrates that for zero disc instanton area the Yukawa couplings γ are > 1 for a wide variety of angles. In summary, perturbative Type IIA string theory therefore allows for a range of γ including large values in the interval $\gamma \in [\mathcal{O}(1),\ \mathcal{O}(50)]$.

We now turn to an approximate analytic analysis of the range of Yukawa couplings in the IR regime, in particular for γ_Q. We will demonstrate that for a broad range of UV boundary conditions γ_Q robustly approaches an approximate IR fixed point, governed by the IR value of g_3, the largest gauge coupling. (Note, for example, an early analysis of such an IR behavior for the fourth family Yukawa couplings within the MSSM [55].)

First one observes from (2.4) that γ_L tends to decrease in the IR regime due to a positive, dominant contribution from γ_Q and a smaller, negative contributions from g_2. We shall reconfirm post-factum that for $\gamma_L = \mathcal{O}(1)$ in the UV, $\gamma_L < \gamma_Q$ in the IR.

To illustrate the IR fixed point behavior, let us study the beta function for γ_Q in (2.4) in the case of only Q exotics, i.e., $N_Q \neq 0$ and $N_{U,D,L,E} = 0$. For simplicity we neglect $g_{1,2}$ relative to g_3 and replace the running g_3 with its (approximately "constant") IR value at $\Lambda_{IR} \sim 1$ TeV. This approximation is justified since the gauge couplings run logarithmically with the scale Λ, while the IR fixed point for Yukawa couplings is approached with a



Figure 1. String scale Yukawa coupling for $g_s = 0.2$ and brane angles λ, ν.

power-law for Λ. With these approximations we obtain:

$$16\pi^2 \beta_{\gamma_Q} = \gamma_Q \left[2(1+3N_Q)\gamma_Q^2 - \frac{16}{3} g_{3\,IR}^2 \right] , \tag{2.7}$$

which is easily solved to yield

$$\gamma_{Q\,IR}^2 = \frac{a}{\left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{a}{\gamma_{Q\,UV}^2} \right) \left(\frac{\Lambda_{IR}}{\Lambda_{UV}} \right)^{\frac{2a}{b}} \right]}, \tag{2.8}$$

where $a = \frac{16}{3} \frac{g_{3\,IR}^2}{2(1+3N_Q)}$ and $b = \frac{16\pi^2}{2(1+3N_Q)}$. We thus observe an IR robust fixed point governed by a. Although (2.8) gives a reasonable approximation to γ_Q, we will use the exact solutions to (2.4) in our subsequent analysis.

3 Perturbative and Stable Models of the Diphoton Excess

The existing experimental bounds on new vector-like fermions are very model dependent. Assuming decays into standard model particles such as $D \to Wt, Zb$, or Hb the current 95% C.L. lower limits are in the range 740-900 GeV [56] or 575-813 GeV [57] for CMS and ATLAS, respectively. The corresponding limits for a heavy charge-2/3 quark are 720-920 GeV [58] and 715-950 GeV [57]. Those for charged and neutral leptons are much weaker, typically around 100 GeV [59], although some mass ranges up to ∼180 GeV are excluded [60]. We will simply assume $M_f \gtrsim 750$ GeV (quarks) and $\gtrsim 200$ GeV (leptons).

We consider models with N_L vector-like lepton doublets and N_D down-type quark chiral supermultiplets that couple in the superpotential to an MSSM singlet S that contains a



Figure 2. Γ_{GG}/M as a function of M_D for $N_D = N_L = 3, 2, 1$ are the top, middle, and bottom blue lines, respectively. Upper and lower bounds on the rate from [4] are also given.



Figure 3. The partial width into photons for models with $(N_D, N_L) = (3, 3)$ and $(1, 2)$.

pseudoscalar s of mass $M_s = 750$ GeV. We choose $t_{UV} = 30$ ($t_{IR} = 0$) relative to a reference scale $\mu_0 = 750$ GeV, corresponding to $\mu_{UV} = 8.0 \times 10^{15}$ GeV ($\mu_{IR} = 750$ GeV). We assume universal ultraviolet Yukawa couplings with $\gamma_{UV} = 1$ and universal masses M_L and M_D for the vector-like leptons and quarks. The total decay width of s is $\Gamma_{tot} = \Gamma_{GG} + \Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}$, where the expressions for partial widths are given in [4].

The partial width $\Gamma(s \to GG)$ must satisfy $\Gamma_{GG}/M \geq 8 \times 10^{-7}$ to be in the preferred blue band on the left side of Figure 1 in [4]. We study $\Gamma_{GG}/M \geq 2 \times 10^{-6}$, for which the blue band flattens out and the analysis of the rate into two photons is simplified. For

$N_D = N_L = 3, 2, 1$ the rate Γ_{GG} is computed in Figure 2 as a function of the exotic quark mass M_D. $\Gamma_{GG}/M \geq 2 \times 10^{-6}$ for $M_5 \lesssim 3270, 2380, 1430$ GeV, respectively, well within vector-like quark bounds. These exotic representations embed into $5 + 5^*$ pairs, which maintain MSSM-like gauge unification to lowest order, and $N_D = N_L = 3$ is motivated by E_6 models.

For $\Gamma_{GG}/M \geq 2 \times 10^{-6}$, the partial width into photons must satisfy [4] $6 \times 10^{-7} \lesssim \Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}/M \lesssim 2 \times 10^{-6}$ at 2σ, assuming no other contributions to the width. The E_6 motivated model $(N_D, N_L) = (3, 3)$ and the minimal model that can account for the data $(N_D, N_L) = (1, 2)$ are presented in Figure 3. In each plot M_D goes up to the maximal value that allows for $\Gamma_{GG}/M \geq 2 \times 10^{-6}$. In both cases obtaining a large enough $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}/M$ requires $M_L \lesssim 375$ GeV, while obtaining a large enough Γ_{GG}/M requires $M_D \lesssim 3.3, 1.6$ TeV, respectively.

Similar $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}/M$ plots for all $N_D, N_L \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ are presented in Figures 4, 5, and 6. Interestingly, $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}/M$ tends to increase with decreasing N_D for fixed N_L.

Acknowledgments

This research is supported in part by the DOE Grant Award DE-SC0013528, (M.C.), the Fay R. and Eugene L. Langberg Endowed Chair (M.C.) and the Slovenian Research Agency (ARRS) (M.C.). J.H. is supported by startup funding from Northeastern University.

References

[1] *Talks by Jim Olsen and Marumi Kado, CERN, 15 Dec. 2015., LHC seminar* ATLAS and CMS physics results from Run 2.

[2] *CMS note, Search for new physics in high mass diphoton events in proton-proton collisions at* 13 *TeV, CMS PAS EXO-15-004.*

[3] *ATLAS note,* Search for resonances decaying to photon pairs in 3.2 fb^{-1} of pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, *ATLAS-CONF-2015-081.*

[4] R. Franceschini, G. F. Giudice, J. F. Kamenik, M. McCullough, A. Pomarol, R. Rattazzi, M. Redi, F. Riva, A. Strumia, and R. Torre, *What is the gamma gamma resonance at 750 GeV?*, arXiv:1512.04933.

[5] S. D. McDermott, P. Meade, and H. Ramani, *Singlet Scalar Resonances and the Diphoton Excess*, arXiv:1512.05326.

[6] J. Ellis, S. A. R. Ellis, J. Quevillon, V. Sanz, and T. You, *On the Interpretation of a Possible* ~ 750 *GeV Particle Decaying into* $\gamma\gamma$, arXiv:1512.05327.

[7] R. S. Gupta, S. Jäger, Y. Kats, G. Perez, and E. Stamou, *Interpreting a 750 GeV Diphoton Resonance*, arXiv:1512.05332.

[8] R. Martinez, F. Ochoa, and C. F. Sierra, *Diphoton decay for a* 750 *GeV scalar boson in an* $U(1)'$ *model*, arXiv:1512.05617.

[9] S. Fichet, G. von Gersdorff, and C. Royon, *Scattering Light by Light at 750 GeV at the LHC*, arXiv:1512.05751.

[10] L. Bian, N. Chen, D. Liu, and J. Shu, *A hidden confining world on the 750 GeV diphoton excess*, arXiv:1512.05759.



Figure 4. The partial width into photons for models with $N_D = 3$ and $N_L = 3, 2, 1$.

[11] A. Falkowski, O. Slone, and T. Volansky, *Phenomenology of a 750 GeV Singlet*, arXiv:1512.05777.

[12] Y. Bai, J. Berger, and R. Lu, *A 750 GeV Dark Pion: Cousin of a Dark G-parity-odd WIMP*, arXiv:1512.05779.

[13] M. Dhuria and G. Goswami, *Perturbativity, vacuum stability and inflation in the light of 750 GeV diphoton excess*, arXiv:1512.06782.

[14] I. Chakraborty and A. Kundu, *Diphoton excess at 750 GeV: Singlet scalars confront naturalness*, arXiv:1512.06508.

[15] F. Wang, L. Wu, J. M. Yang, and M. Zhang, *750 GeV Diphoton Resonance, 125 GeV Higgs and Muon g-2 Anomaly in Deflected Anomaly Mediation SUSY Breaking Scenario*, arXiv:1512.06715.

[16] C. W. Murphy, *Vector Leptoquarks and the 750 GeV Diphoton Resonance at the LHC*, arXiv:1512.06976.

[17] A. E. C. Hernandez and I. Nisandzic, *LHC diphoton 750 GeV resonance as an indication of*



Figure 5. The partial width into photons for models with $N_D = 2$ and $N_L = 3, 2, 1$.

$SU(3)_c \times SU(3)_L \times U(1)_X$ *gauge symmetry*, arXiv:1512.07165.

[18] W.-C. Huang, Y.-L. S. Tsai, and T.-C. Yuan, *Gauged Two Higgs Doublet Model confronts the LHC 750 GeV di-photon anomaly*, arXiv:1512.07268.

[19] M. Badziak, *Interpreting the 750 GeV diphoton excess in minimal extensions of Two-Higgs-Doublet models*, arXiv:1512.07497.

[20] M. CvetiÄŊ, J. Halverson, and P. Langacker, *String Consistency, Heavy Exotics, and the 750 GeV Diphoton Excess at the LHC*, arXiv:1512.07622.

[21] K. Cheung, P. Ko, J. S. Lee, J. Park, and P.-Y. Tseng, *A Higgcision study on the 750 GeV Di-photon Resonance and 125 GeV SM Higgs boson with the Higgs-Singlet Mixing*, arXiv:1512.07853.

[22] J. Zhang and S. Zhou, *Electroweak Vacuum Stability and Diphoton Excess at 750 GeV*, arXiv:1512.07889.

[23] L. J. Hall, K. Harigaya, and Y. Nomura, *750 GeV Diphotons: Implications for Supersymmetric Unification*, arXiv:1512.07904.



Figure 6. The partial width into photons for models with $N_D = 1$ and $N_L = 3, 2, 1$.

[24] F. Wang, W. Wang, L. Wu, J. M. Yang, and M. Zhang, *Interpreting 750 GeV Diphoton Resonance in the NMSSM with Vector-like Particles*, arXiv:1512.08434.

[25] A. Salvio and A. Mazumdar, *Higgs Stability and the 750 GeV Diphoton Excess*, arXiv:1512.08184.

[26] M. Son and A. Urbano, *A new scalar resonance at 750 GeV: Towards a proof of concept in favor of strongly interacting theories*, arXiv:1512.08307.

[27] C. Cai, Z.-H. Yu, and H.-H. Zhang, *The 750 GeV diphoton resonance as a singlet scalar in an extra dimensional model*, arXiv:1512.08440.

[28] N. Bizot, S. Davidson, M. Frigerio, and J. L. Kneur, *Two Higgs doublets to explain the excesses* $pp \to \gamma\gamma(750\ \text{GeV})$ *and* $h \to \tau^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}$, arXiv:1512.08508.

[29] Y. Hamada, T. Noumi, S. Sun, and G. Shiu, *An O(750) GeV Resonance and Inflation*, arXiv:1512.08984.

[30] S. K. Kang and J. Song, *Top-phobic heavy Higgs boson as the 750 GeV diphoton resonance*, arXiv:1512.08963.

[31] Y. Jiang, Y.-Y. Li, and T. Liu, *750 GeV Resonance in the Gauged $U(1)'$-Extended MSSM*, arXiv:1512.09127.

[32] S. Jung, J. Song, and Y. W. Yoon, *How Resonance-Continuum Interference Changes 750 GeV Diphoton Excess: Signal Enhancement and Peak Shift*, arXiv:1601.00006.

[33] J. Gu and Z. Liu, *Running after Diphoton*, arXiv:1512.07624.

[34] F. Goertz, J. F. Kamenik, A. Katz, and M. Nardecchia, *Indirect Constraints on the Scalar Di-Photon Resonance at the LHC*, arXiv:1512.08500.

[35] P. Ko, Y. Omura, and C. Yu, *Diphoton Excess at 750 GeV in leptophobic U(1)′ model inspired by E_6 GUT*, arXiv:1601.00586.

[36] E. Palti, *Vector-Like Exotics in F-Theory and 750 GeV Diphotons*, arXiv:1601.00285.

[37] A. Karozas, S. F. King, G. K. Leontaris, and A. K. Meadowcroft, *750 GeV Diphoton excess from E_6 in F-theory GUTs*, arXiv:1601.00640.

[38] S. Bhattacharya, S. Patra, N. Sahoo, and N. Sahu, *750 GeV Di-photon excess at CERN LHC from a dark sector assisted scalar decay*, arXiv:1601.01569.

[39] J. Cao, L. Shang, W. Su, Y. Zhang, and J. Zhu, *Interpreting the 750 GeV diphoton excess in the Minimal Dilaton Model*, arXiv:1601.02570.

[40] A. E. Faraggi and J. Rizos, *The 750 GeV diphoton LHC excess and Extra Z's in Heterotic-String Derived Models*, arXiv:1601.03604.

[41] X.-F. Han, L. Wang, and J. M. Yang, *An extension of two-Higgs-doublet model and the excesses of 750 GeV diphoton, muon g-2 and $h \to \mu\tau$*, arXiv:1601.04954.

[42] J. Kawamura and Y. Omura, *Diphoton excess at 750 GeV and LHC constraints in models with vector-like particles*, arXiv:1601.07396.

[43] S. F. King and R. Nevzorov, *750 GeV Diphoton Resonance from Singlets in an Exceptional Supersymmetric Standard Model*, arXiv:1601.07242.

[44] T. Nomura and H. Okada, *Generalized Zee-Babu model with 750 GeV Diphoton Resonance*, arXiv:1601.07339.

[45] K. Harigaya and Y. Nomura, *A Composite Model for the 750 GeV Diphoton Excess*, arXiv:1602.01092.

[46] C. Han, T. T. Yanagida, and N. Yokozaki, *Implications of the 750 GeV Diphoton Excess in Gaugino Mediation*, arXiv:1602.04204.

[47] Y. Hamada, H. Kawai, K. Kawana, and K. Tsumura, *Models of LHC Diphoton Excesses Valid up to the Planck scale*, arXiv:1602.04170.

[48] K. J. Bae, M. Endo, K. Hamaguchi, and T. Moroi, *Diphoton Excess and Running Couplings*, arXiv:1602.03653.

[49] J. Halverson, *Anomaly Nucleation Constrains SU(2) Gauge Theories*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111** (2013), no. 26 261601, [arXiv:1310.1091].

[50] M. Cvetič, J. Halverson, and P. Langacker, *Implications of String Constraints for Exotic Matter and Z' s Beyond the Standard Model*, *JHEP* **11** (2011) 058.

[51] M. Cvetič, J. Halverson, and H. Piragua, *Stringy Hidden Valleys*, *JHEP* **1302** (2013) 005.

[52] J. Halverson, N. Orlofsky, and A. Pierce, *Vectorlike Leptons as the Tip of the Dark Matter Iceberg*, *Phys. Rev.* **D90** (2014), no. 1 015002, [arXiv:1403.1592].

[53] S. P. Martin, *A Supersymmetry primer*, hep-ph/9709356. [Adv. Ser. Direct. High Energy Phys.18,1(1998)].

[54] M. Cvetic and I. Papadimitriou, *Conformal field theory couplings for intersecting D-branes on orientifolds*, *Phys. Rev.* **D68** (2003) 046001, [hep-th/0303083]. [Erratum: Phys. Rev.D70,029903(2004)].

[55] M. Cvetic and C. R. Preitschopf, *Heavy Families and $N = 1$ Supergravity Within the Standard Model*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B272** (1986) 490.

[56] **CMS** Collaboration, V. Khachatryan et al., *Search for pair-produced vector-like B quarks in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 8 TeV*, arXiv:1507.07129.

[57] **ATLAS** Collaboration, G. Aad et al., *Search for production of vector-like quark pairs and of four top quarks in the lepton-plus-jets final state in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *JHEP* **08** (2015) 105, [arXiv:1505.04306].

[58] **CMS** Collaboration, V. Khachatryan et al., *Search for vector-like charge 2/3 T quarks in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{(s)}$ = 8 TeV*, *Phys. Rev.* **D93** (2016), no. 1 012003, [arXiv:1509.04177].

[59] **Particle Data Group** Collaboration, K. A. Olive et al., *Review of Particle Physics (RPP)*, *Chin.Phys.* **C38** (2014) 090001. http://pdg.lbl.gov.

[60] **ATLAS** Collaboration, G. Aad et al., *Search for heavy lepton resonances decaying to a Z boson and a lepton in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *JHEP* **09** (2015) 108, [arXiv:1506.01291].